

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,601		02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917US2	1629	
26271	7590	11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY				TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
SUITE 5100)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 77	010-3095		1714		
				DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	Ī	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
1			
Office Action Summary	10/084,601	JORDAN, FREDERICK	L.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communi	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	gust 2004.		
2a)∐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowand 	ce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abevance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to Soc 27 CED 4 40.	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (F)	ave been received. ave been received in Appl documents have been rec PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 12, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed August 11, 2004 in which claims 36, 38, 42, 47, 53, 66 and 74 were amended and claims 55, 65 and 82 were cancelled.
- 3. The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims and Applicant's arguments.

 The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,601

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 29-32, 37-40 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a composition comprising beta-carotene, at least one edible oil and dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 17-24). The edible oil may be selected from coconut, palm, olive, peanut (a member of the *Leguminosae* family), and corn (grain). Kirk teaches that the oils may be used in combination (see col. 3, lines 22-28). Kirk also teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is an antioxidant (see col. 3, lines 47-50). Kirk teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach applicant's intended use. However, intended use is given no patentable weight in claims that are directed to the composition per se.

In the second aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not teach that the edible oils function as thermal stabilizers. However, given that Kirk teaches some of the same oils as applicant in combination with beta-carotene, it would be reasonable to expect that the oils would function in this capacity. Furthermore, a compound and its properties are inseparable. *In re Papesch*, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963).

- 6. Claims 33-36, 41, 42, 45 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 47-54, 56-64 and 66-81 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed fuel additive or fuel composition containing said additive.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10084601\103004